

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/600,187		O'BRIEN ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.		1643		

All Participants:

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(2) Benjamin Adler, Ph.D., J.D.

Date of Interview: 6 September 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-52

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner informed Dr. Adler that claims 16 and 17 were improperly listed in Group I and would be placed with the examined Group (claims 12-15 and 32-35).

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:36 pm

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)